

Problem SET = 7

Prob. 1

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + U$$

$$\sum Y = 580$$

$$\sum X = 50$$

$$\sum XY = 2246$$

$$\sum Y^2 = 41208$$

$$\sum X^2 = 310$$

$$\sum xy = -654$$

$$\sum x^2 = 60$$

$$\sum y^2 = 7568$$

(a) Least square Estimates of β_0 & β_1

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum xy}{\sum x^2} = \frac{-654}{60} = -10.9$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X} = \frac{580}{10} - (-10.9) \cdot \frac{50}{10} = 112.5$$

(b) $R^2 = r_{xy}^2 = r_{yx}^2 = \frac{(\sum xy)^2}{\sum x^2 \sum y^2} = \frac{(-654)^2}{(7568)(60)} = 0.9419$

→ 94 percent of the variation in Y around \bar{Y} is explained by X. The remaining 6% of total variation in Y is accounted for regression line & is attributed to factors included in disturbance term

(c) $H_0: \beta_0 = 0$

$H_A: \beta_0 \neq 0$

intercept term

$$t_{\hat{\beta}_0} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_0}{se(\hat{\beta}_0)} \rightarrow se(\hat{\beta}_0) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2 \sum X^2}{T \sum x^2}}$$

σ^2 is pop. variance & unknown

use estimated Variance = $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum \hat{U}_t^2}{T-k-1}$

of exp. var. ↓

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum \hat{U}_t^2}{\sum y^2} \Rightarrow 0.942 = 1 - \frac{\sum \hat{U}_t^2}{7568} \Rightarrow \sum \hat{U}_t^2 = 438.944$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{438.944}{8} = 54.868$$

$$se(\hat{\beta}_0) = \sqrt{\frac{54.868(310)}{10(60)}} = 5.324 = se(\hat{\beta}_0)$$

$$t_{\hat{\beta}_0} = \frac{112.5}{5.324} = 21.131 > 2.306 = t_{0.025, 8} = t_{\alpha/2, T-k-1}$$

* Model have an intercept term

⇒ Reject H_0 !

* β_0 is significant at 0.05 level of significance.

(d) $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$

$H_A: \beta_1 \neq 0$

$$t_{\hat{\beta}_1} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{se(\hat{\beta}_1)} \Rightarrow se(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sum x^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{54.868}{60}} = 0.956$$

$$t_{\hat{\beta}_1} = \frac{-10.9}{0.956} = -11.402 > 2.306 \Rightarrow \underline{\text{Reject } H_0!} \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \text{ is significant at 0.05 sign. level.}$$

(e) CS for %99

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \pm se(\hat{\beta}_1) \cdot t_{\alpha/2, T-k-1} \Rightarrow -10.9 \pm (0.956) \cdot 3.355$$

$$[-7.693, 14.107]$$

Problem 2

$$Y_t = b_0 + b_1 X_t + u_t \quad X_t = \text{Export Price}$$

$$\bar{X} = 5, \bar{Y} = 6, n = 10 \quad Y_t = \text{Q}^S \text{ for exports}$$

$$\sum X_t Y_t = 353$$

$$\sum X_t^2 = 304$$

$$\sum Y_t^2 = 428$$

$$\textcircled{a} \quad b_0 = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X} \Rightarrow 6 - (0.981) \times 5 = 1.093$$

$$b_1 = \frac{\sum X_t Y_t - T \bar{X} \bar{Y}}{\sum X_t^2 - T \bar{X}^2} = \frac{353 - (10)(5)(6)}{304 - (10)5^2} = \frac{53}{54} = 0.981$$

$$\textcircled{b} \quad R^2 = r_{xy}^2 \Rightarrow 0.76498$$

$$r_{yx} = \frac{T \sum X_t Y_t - \sum X_t \sum Y_t}{\sqrt{[T \sum X_t^2 - (\sum X_t)^2] [T \sum Y_t^2 - (\sum Y_t)^2]}} = \frac{10(353) - (10.5)(10.6)}{\sqrt{(10(304) - 50^2)(10(428) - 60^2)}} = \frac{530}{655.97} = 0.8746$$

⇒ About 87 percent of variation in Y around its mean is explained by X .

$$\textcircled{c} \quad H_0: b_1 = 0 \quad H_A: b_1 > 0 \quad t_{\hat{\beta}_1} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{0.981}{0.1140} = 7.007 \quad t_{\alpha, T-k-1} = t_{0.025, 8} = 1.860$$

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum \hat{u}_t^2}{\sum Y_t^2} \Rightarrow \sum \hat{u}_t^2 = 8.527 \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{\sum \hat{u}_t^2}{T-k-1} = 1.0659 \quad \text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sum X_t^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{1.0659}{54}} = 0.140$$

$7.007 > 1.860 \Rightarrow$ reject $H_0 \nabla \rightarrow b_1$ is positive. There is a positive relation btw X & $Y \nabla$

$$\textcircled{d} \quad H_0: b_0 = 0 \quad H_A: b_0 \neq 0 \quad t_{b_0} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_0}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_0)} \quad \text{se}(\hat{\beta}_0) = 0.7746 \quad \sigma^2 = 1.0659$$

$$t_{b_0} = \frac{1.093}{0.7746} = 1.4109 \quad t_{0.025, 8} = 2.306 \quad \text{DNR } H_0 \nabla$$

So, Intercept term is not significant.

Hence, the export supply function should not have an intercept term. The existence of the intercept term in the regression ^{model} does not supported by data.

Prob. 3 $\ln Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln X_{t1} + \beta_2 \ln X_{t2} + \beta_3 \ln X_{t3} + \beta_4 \ln X_{t4} + u_t$ (1960-1982)

Model

(a) $H_0: \beta_0 = 0$
 $H_A: \beta_0 \neq 0$

$t_{\hat{\beta}_0} = \frac{2.1898}{0.1559} = 14.064$
R.Ho?

$\Rightarrow H_0: \beta_1 = 0$
 $H_A: \beta_1 \neq 0$

$t_{\hat{\beta}_1} = \frac{0.3425}{0.0833} = 4.112$
R.Ho?

$\Rightarrow H_0: \beta_2 = 0$
 $H_A: \beta_2 \neq 0$

$t_{\hat{\beta}_2} = \frac{-0.5046}{0.1109} = -4.55$
R.Ho?

$\Rightarrow H_0: \beta_3 = 0$
 $H_A: \beta_3 \neq 0$

$t_{\hat{\beta}_3} = \frac{0.1485}{0.0997} = 1.489$
DNR Ho?

$\Rightarrow H_0: \beta_4 = 0$
 $H_A: \beta_4 \neq 0$

$t_{\hat{\beta}_4} = \frac{0.0941}{0.1007} = 0.9407$
DNR Ho?

$t_{\alpha/2, T-k-1} = t_{0.025, 18} = 2.101$

* k: exp. variable

* T: # of obs.

* $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$ significant, β_3, β_4 insignificant

(b) Joint significant Test

$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$
 $H_A: \text{at least one of them is non-zero}$

$\theta = \frac{(SSE_R - SSE_U) / p}{SSE_U / (T-k-1)} \sim F_{p, T-k-1}^{\alpha}$

* p: # of restriction
 * k: exp. variable

* Use the Q statistic calculated using SSR's.
 * Do not use " " " " " " R^2 since it is not done in lectures.

$\rightarrow Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{t1} + \beta_2 X_{t2} + \beta_3 X_{t3} + \beta_4 X_{t4} + u_t \Rightarrow$ unrestricted model: SSE_U
 $\rightarrow \hat{Y}_t = \hat{\beta}_0 \Rightarrow$ restricted model: SSE_R

Do not use Q statistic calculated using R^2 DDDD

in question: Model 1 unrestrict., Model 4 restricted?

$Q = \frac{(0.77475 - 0.013704) / 4}{(0.013704) / 18} = 249.92$

$F_{p, T-k-1}^{\alpha} = F_{4, 18}^{0.05} = 2.93$

$\theta > F^{\alpha} \Rightarrow$ Reject H_0 !

(c) Model in time ln: use $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$ bias elasticity: verif.

- $\beta_1 \rightarrow$ income elasticity of chicken demand
- $\beta_2 \rightarrow$ own price elasticity of chicken demand
- $\beta_3 \rightarrow$ cross-price elasticity of chicken demand with respect to pork
- $\beta_4 \rightarrow$ cross-price elasticity of chicken demand wrt. beef.

(d) $H_0: \beta_1 \leq 0$
 $H_A: \beta_1 > 0$

$t_{\hat{\beta}_1} = \frac{0.3425}{0.0833} = 4.116$

$t_{\alpha, T-k-1} = t_{0.05, 18} = 1.734$

$4.116 > 1.734 \Rightarrow$ Reject H_0 ! positively related

- (e) $\beta_4 > 0$ chicken and beef are substitutes
- $\beta_4 < 0$ chicken and beef are complements
- $\beta_4 = 0$ unrelated goods

$H_0: \beta_4 \geq 0$
 $H_A: \beta_4 < 0$
 $t_{\hat{\beta}_4} = \frac{0.0941}{0.1007} = 0.9407$

$t_{1-k-1}^{\alpha} = 1.734 \Rightarrow$ Do not RHo! \Rightarrow So they are not complementary goods

$$H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$$

$$H_1: \beta_3 \neq \beta_4 \neq 0$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

Soru setinde
yanlış verilmiş
test.

Model 1 : unrestricted.

Model 2 = restricted.

$$Q = \frac{(SSR_R - SSR_U) / P}{SSR_U / (T - k - 1)} = \frac{(0.015437 - 0.013703) / 2}{(0.013703) / 18} \approx 1.1389$$

$$F_{2,18}^{0.05} = 3.55 < 1.1389 \Rightarrow \text{DNR } H_0 \nabla$$

β_3 and β_4 are not jointly significant.

$$R^2 = 0 \Rightarrow R^2 = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 \sum x_t^2}{\sum y_t^2} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \rightarrow x_0 \end{array}$$

R^2 , Sağdaki x 'lerin bağımlı değişkenin ortalamasından sapmasının ne kadarını açıkladığı verir. Sağda x olmadığı zaman $R^2 = 0$ olacaktır.

Never Forget : Standard deviation or standard error
may never be negative \odot